

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspho.de/

MICHAEL M RICKIN
ABB INC
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 4U6
29801 EUCLID AVENUE
WICKLIFFE OH 44092-1832

COPY MAILED

JUL 0 5 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Czyzewski et al.

Application No. 10/525911

Filed: 02/25/2005

Atty Docket No. PL-CRC/02/06

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed on 15 May, 2007, under 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on 6 April, 2007, for failure to timely file the issue and publication fees in response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed on 5 January, 2007, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 30 April, 2007.

The application is being referred to the Publishing Division for processing into a patent.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with \$ 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions